

United States Patent and Trademark Office

B

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/814,858	03/31/2004	Rakesh Tuli	U 015125-9	U 015125-9 5596		
140	7590 10/23		EXAM	. EXAMINER		
LADAS & PARRY 26 WEST 61ST STREET			MARVICH	MARVICH, MARIA		
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			1633			
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/200	DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/814,858	TULI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Maria B. Marvich, PhD	1633	

		IVIAITA D. IVIAIVICII, TIID	1033				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE	REPLY FILED <u>26 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
	$oxed{\boxtimes}$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date						
b)	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extens	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f).					
have to the tender set for may re	peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latereduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. 🔲	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause			
ري .0	(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ooddo			
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.				
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	- · · · ·					
4. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. 🔲	•		•				
6. 🗌	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-10</u> .						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	**					
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
	The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. ⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet							
				·			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants have amended claims 1 and 10 to recite a bidirectional promoter that copmrises a TIM on a first and second side of a TAM. By the amendment, claims 1 and claim 10 are identical in scope and as such necessitate new consideration for example when claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Continuation of 13. other: If the amendment were to have been entered, the rejections under 35 USC 112, first paragraph and 35 USC 102 would have been overcome.

SCOTT D. PRIEBE, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER